



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,559	07/26/2002	Pi-Chen Chen	17389.52	1292
22913	7590	06/13/2008		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				
EXAMINER				
LEE, CHEUKFAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/13/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/064,559

**Applicant(s)**

CHEN, PI-CHEN

**Examiner**

Cheukfan Lee

**Art Unit**

2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheukfan Lee.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Michael Pate (Reg. No. 53,439).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 5, 11, 13-30 (and proposed new claims 31-33).

Identification of prior art discussed: Anderson, II et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,329).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Pate proposed to file a set of claims that are directed to detecting defective detectors and compensating for the defective detectors. If the above identified prior art does not disclose the limitations of the new claims, a new search will be conducted. The three-way restriction (action mailed February 27, 2006) was also discussed in considering limitations/languages in the claims to be filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cheukfan Lee/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.